# Original

Alejandra Mondragón-Maya<sup>1</sup> Yvonne Flores-Medina<sup>2\*</sup> Daniel González-Sánchez<sup>3</sup> Elizabeth Hernández-Echeagaray<sup>3</sup> Similarities in cognitive impairment between recentonset and chronic schizophrenia patients: a consideration for the neurodevelopmental hypothesis

- Carrera de Psicología, FES-I, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México
- <sup>2</sup> Laboratorio de Neuromodulación Instituto Nacional de Psiquiatría Ramón de la Fuente Muñiz <sup>3</sup> Laboratorio de Neurofisiología del desarrollo y la Neurodegeneración, UBIMED, FES-I, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

### ABSTRACT.

Impairment in attention, memory, processing speed and executive functions have been described in patients with schizophrenia. Such impairments can be observed in early stages of the disease and in chronic patients; discrepancy in findings regarding the cognitive deficits at different stages of the illness keeps the debate about schizophrenia as a neurodegenerative condition which courses with continuous deterioration, or if deficits remain stable, as the neurodevelopmental hypothesis suggests. The aim of the present study was to compare the cognitive performance of recent-onset (RO) and chronic (CH) schizophrenia patients to contrast the neurodevelopmental hypothesis against the neurodegenerative approach.

Methods. Twenty RO participants (< 5 years from first psychotic episode) and 30 CH patients (> 5 years from first psychotic episode) were included in the sample. The MATRICS Consensus Cognitive Battery (MCCB), Tower of London test (ToL), Wisconsin Card Sorting Test (WCST) and Stroop Test were used for cognitive evaluation. ANCOVA analysis was performed for group comparisons.

Results. No differences between RO and CH patients were identified on most cognitive tests. However, a significant difference was observed in the visual spatial span test from MCCB.

Conclusions. We conclude that cognitive deficits remain stable over the course of the disease. Our findings are consistent with the neurodevelopmental hypothesis of schizophrenia rather than the neurodegenerative approach.

Key Words. Schizophrenia; Neurocognition; Chronicity; Recent onset; Neurodevelopmental hypothesis

Actas Esp Psiguiatr 2023;51(4): 176-83 | ISSN: 1578-2735

## SIMILITUDES EN EL DETERIORO COGNITIVO DE PA-CIENTES CON ESQUIZOFRENIA DE INICIO RECIENTE Y PACIENTES CRÓNICOS: UNA CONSIDERACIÓN SOBRE LA HIPÓTESIS DEL NEURODESARROLLO

Resumen. Se ha descrito la presencia de déficits cognitivos en pacientes con esquizofrenia, dichas alteraciones pueden observarse en fases tempranas y crónicas de la enfermedad. Sin embargo, los hallazgos respecto a los déficits en estas fases aún mantienen el debate sobre si la esquizofrenia es una condición neurodegenerativa que cursa con un deterioro continuo o si los déficits permanecen estables, como sugiere la hipótesis del neurodesarrollo. En el presente estudio se compara el rendimiento cognitivo de pacientes con esquizofrenia de inicio reciente (RO) y pacientes crónicos (CH) con la finalidad de contrastar la hipótesis del neurodesarrollo con la perspectiva neurodegenerativa.

Método. Se incluyeron 20 participantes de RO (< 5 años desde el primer episodio psicótico) y 30 pacientes de CH (> 5 años desde el primer episodio psicótico). Para la evaluación cognitiva se utilizó la Batería Cognitiva Consensuada MATRICS (MCCB), la Prueba Torre de

© 2023 Actas Españolas de Psiguiatría - Fundación López Ibor https://www.fundacionlopezibor.es/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>•</sup>Correspondence:

**Yvonne Flores Medina** 

Laboratorio de Neuromodulación, Instituto Nacional de Psiguiatría Ramón de la Fuente Muñiz.

Calz. México-Xochimilco 101, San Lorenzo Huipulco, Tlalpan, 14370, Ciudad de México,

México. Phone: (55) 4160 - 5351 Email: yg.floresmedina@gmail.com

Actas Esp Psiquiatr 2023;51(4): 176-83 | ISSN: 1578-2735

Londres, la Prueba de Clasificación de Tarjetas de Wisconsin y la Prueba de Stroop. Se utilizó ANCOVA para las comparaciones de grupos.

**Resultados.** No hubo diferencias entre los grupos en la mayoría de las pruebas cognitivas. Se observó una diferencia significativa en la prueba de span espacial del MCCB.

**Conclusiones.** Los déficits cognitivos permanecen estables a lo largo del tiempo; nuestros hallazgos son consistentes con la hipótesis del neurodesarrollo de la esquizofrenia más que con el enfoque neurodegenerativo.

Palabras clave. Esquizofrenia, neurocognición, cronicidad, inicio reciente, hipótesis del neurodesarrollo

#### INTRODUCTION

Schizophrenia is a chronic psychiatric disorder characterized by the presence of positive symptoms which include delusions, hallucinations, and disorganized behavior; as well as negative symptoms, which are expressed by restricted affective responses, diminished verbal production and loss of interest and motivation<sup>1</sup>. Besides, cognitive symptoms are considered a core feature of schizophrenia, although they are not explicitly codified into international diagnostic guidelines like DSM-5 or CIE-11<sup>2</sup>. Moreover, cognitive impairment is closely related to patient's functionality<sup>3</sup>.

Considering that schizophrenia is a chronic disorder that causes long-term disability and has shown a calculated total remission rate of 13.5%, which is considered as very low<sup>4</sup>, two main hypotheses have been proposed regarding the course of the illness: The first one, proposes that schizophrenia is a neurodegenerative condition, which causes continuous deterioration, thus chronic patients (CH) would be significantly more impaired than recentonset patients (RO). The other hypothesis considers that schizophrenia is a neurodevelopmental disorder, which is expressed in early adulthood, and the impairment associated to it remains stable throughout the course of illness<sup>5</sup>. Although several authors have reported significant differences between RO and CH regarding symptom severity, cognition, and functionality<sup>6,7,8</sup>, others have failed to observe such differences9. For these reasons, the evidence supporting both approaches remain inconclusive<sup>10</sup>.

In the cognition domain, patients with schizophrenia show deficits in attention, verbal learning, verbal/visual memory, working memory, processing speed, executive functioning, and social cognition<sup>11</sup>. The occurrence of such deficits and the severity of impairment may generate heterogeneous profiles, that can be observed in early stages of the disease<sup>12,13,14,15,16</sup> as well as in CH patients<sup>10,13,17,18,19,20</sup>. According to several authors, the profiles are divided into mild, moderate, and severe impairment. In the first profile, deficits can be observed mainly in attention and social cognition domains, while the remaining two profiles show generalized impairments across multiple cognitive domains<sup>21,22,23</sup>.

Interesting findings have been reported in comparative studies between RO and CH. Albus et al.13 showed that first-episode psychosis patients outperformed CH in cognitive flexibility tasks. Besides, a higher performance was observed in abstraction, visoconstruction, and visual memory by Addington et al.12 in RO patients compared with CH. Also, Braw et al.24 found that RO patients (i.e., 48 months from diagnosis) displayed a better performance in psychomotor processing, visual memory, verbal working memory, cognitive flexibility, and planning compared with CH patients (i.e., 64 months from diagnosis). Notwithstanding, Barder et al.25 described patients in a 5-year follow-up period, who improved their baseline performance in psychomotor speed, working memory and impulsivity. In the same line, Rodriguez-Jimenez et al.<sup>7</sup> reported CH (i.e., 17.1 years from diagnosis) achieved significant higher scores than RO patients (i.e., 0.7 years from diagnosis) in a CPT task, which measures sustained attention and vigilance. Sponheim et al.26 found that CH displayed poorer performance on time-based measures of problem solving and fine motor dexterity. However, they observed an overall comparable cognitive impairment across RO and CH patients. In the same line, McCleery et al.9 used the MATRICS Consensus Cognitive Battery (MCCB) for cognitive assessment, and did not observe significant differences between first-episode psychosis and CH. Finally, in a recent review published in 2018<sup>27</sup>, it has been identified that cognitive impairment increases in ultra-high risk for psychosis population over its development, but stabilizes by the first psychotic episode.

As mentioned before, discrepancy in findings regarding the cognitive deficits at different stages of the illness keeps the debate about schizophrenia as a neurodegenerative condition which courses with continuous deterioration, or if deficits remain stable, as the neurodevelopmental hypothesis suggests. Thus, the aim of the present study was to compare the cognitive performance of RO and CH to contrast the neurodevelopmental hypothesis against the neurodegenerative approach. Neurocognitive processes were assessed with the MCCB, which is considered the international gold standard for cognitive assessment in

schizophrenia. Moreover, cognitive flexibility, planning and inhibition tasks were included to extend the evaluation of the executive functioning domain.

## METHODS

#### **Participants**

Fifty patients diagnosed with schizophrenia according to DMS-5 criteria were recruited at the National Institute of Psychiatry "Ramón de la Fuente Muñiz" (INPRFM) in Mexico City. All participants were over 18 years old, had achieved at least six years of formal education, and were under pharmacological treatment at the time of the assessment. Another inclusion criterion was fulfilling clinical stability, which was defined as, a) Having no increase on the antipsychotic medication dose over the last 3 months and, b) Achieving a total score between 60 and 90 of the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) Spanish version<sup>28,29</sup>.

The exclusion criteria included additional diagnosis of any neurological condition, comorbid substance abuse (excluding nicotine), clinical diagnosis of intellectual disability, and scores lower that 7 in the WAIS-III Vocabulary Test<sup>30</sup>), or perceptual impairment that could restrict the assessment. Two groups were formed depending on the years of chronicity, 20 patients were included in the RO group, defined as having less than 5 years passed from the first psychotic episode and 30 comprised the CH group with more than 5 years passed from the first episode of psychosis. The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the INPRFM and the Ethics Committee of the Facultad de Estudios Superiores Iztacala (FESI).

Demographic data were obtained with a structured interview. The PANSS, which has been suggested as the gold standard tool for the assessment of psychotic symptoms<sup>31</sup>, was used to obtain clinical data measurements. For the cognitive assessment, the MCCB Central and South American version was used<sup>32</sup>, this battery includes a wide variety of cognitive domains: processing speed, attention/vigilance, verbal and visual learning, verbal and visual working memory, reasoning and problem solving, and social cognition. The tests comprising the MCCB are the following: Symbol Coding of the Brief Assessment of Cognition in Schizophrenia (BACS-SC), Trail Making Test part A (TMT-A), Continuous Performance Test: Identical Pairs (CPT-IP), Category Fluency: Animal Naming (CF), Wechsler Memory Scale: Spatial Span (WMS-SS), Letter-Number Span (LNS), Hopkins Verbal Memory Scale-Revised (HVLT-R), Brief Visuospatial Memory Test-Revised (BVMT-R), Mazes from the Neuropsychological Assessment Battery (NAB-M) and the Managing Emotions subtest of Mayer-Salovey-Caruso Emotional Intelligence Test (MSCEIT-ME). Additionally, the executive functioning assessment was extended by including the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test (WSCT)<sup>33</sup>, Stroop Test<sup>34</sup> and Tower of London Test (ToL)<sup>35</sup> to explore cognitive flexibility, inhibitory control and planning respectively.

#### Procedure

Clinical diagnosis of the patients was performed at the INPRFM by specialized psychiatrists. After verifying inclusion/exclusion criteria, participants signed an informed consent letter. Three research assistants with master's degrees in neuropsychology were trained in the administration of the tests. Under the supervision of the principal investigator, the assessment was performed in a single session, into a quiet, sound-controlled, and illuminated room. The neuropsychological assessment was conducted in the following order: MCCB, WCST, Stroop, and ToL. If requested by the participant, a break period after MCCB application was granted, but not during the execution of any test. The complete evaluation lasted about 90 minutes.

### Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed with SPSS 20 version; chi square and t student tests were calculated for socio-demographic and clinical data. For normality analysis the Shapiro-Wilk test was used, and an ANCOVA was performed for group comparisons, whereas age was introduced as a covariable due to the expected differences between RO and CH. Effect sizes were calculated with partial eta squared  $(n^2)$ .

### RESULTS

The normality test showed that data were normally distributed, with p > .05 for both groups. Regarding sociodemographic variables, no difference was found between RO and CH groups for years of education, sex, age of the first psychotic episode, pharmacological treatment or PANSS scores; the expected differences were observed in age and chronicity (Table 1).

The comparison between groups regarding MCCB performance is shown in Table 2. No differences were found in most variables but WMS-SS, which measures visual spatial span. Such difference had a medium effect size. Although non-significant, small effects were observed in TMT-A, BACS-SC, LNS, HVLT-R, BVMT-R, NAB-M, MSCEIT-ME, and MCCB Total Score. Poor performances for both groups were observed in TMT-A, MSCEIT-ME and MCCB

Actas Esp Psiquiatr 2023;51(4): 176-83 | ISSN: 1578-2735 © 2023 Actas Españolas de Psiquiatría - Fundación López Ibor https://www.fundacionlopezibor.es/

178

Table 1	Demographic and	Demographic and clinical data						
	Recent onset	Chronic	р					
n	20	30						
Male	14 (70%)	20 (66.7%)	0.8					
Age	26.55 (4.19)**	42.4 (13.29)	< 0.01					
Age of onset	23.8 (4.34)	26.93 (10.43)	0.15					
Years of education	on 13.9 (2.97)	13.43 (3.27)	0.61					
Chronicity (years	) 2.72 (1.56)**	15.43 (9.06)	< 0.01					
Positive sympton	ns 19.69 (6.52)	20.14 (6.16)	0.83					
Negative sympto	ms 23.08 (7.49)	23.46 (7.92)	0.88					
PANSS Total scor	re 71.36 (13.56)	79.14 (16.71)	0.14					
First gen antipsy- chotics	- 25%	23.3%	0.89					

	Mg (mean)	Chlorpromazine 100mg oral equivalent	Mg (mean)	Chlorpromazine 100mg oral equivalent
Aripiprazole	21	7	16	5.3
Clozapine	287	95	325	108
Haloperidol	1.42	0.47	4.1	1.3
Olanzapine	7.5	2.47	12.8	4.22
Risperidone	2.75	0.91	3.1	1.03
Sulpiride	400	133	350	116.3
Trifluoperazine			7.5	2.5

\*\* Differences between recent onset vs chronic, p < 0.01

Total Score, in which patients achieved scores below 2 standard deviations.

Executive functioning performance is shown in Table 3, raw scores were transformed into Z-scores. Both groups displayed a similar performance, with no significant differences in any variable. A marginal significant trend was observed in the Stroop Test's interference ratio with a medium size effect (Table 3). Most remaining variables showed small effect sizes.

Table 2		Comparison of MCCB performance among groups							
		R	0	СН					
	Μ	ean	SD	Mean	SD	F	р	n <sup>2</sup> <sub>p</sub>	Effect size
Processing spe	ed								
TMT-A	21	.9	16.7	28.5	13.0	0.6	0.42	0.01	Small
BACS-S	C 31	.9	13.2	31.9	13.0	0.7	0.39	0.01	Small
CF	39	).7	9.7	41.6	11.3	0.1	0.71	0.00	No effect
Attention									
CPT-IP	30	).3	10.8	32.6	14.2	0.9	0.75	0.00	No effect
Working mem	ory								
WMS-S	5 4 2	2.5	10.5	42.4	12.9	4.7	0.03	0.092	Medium
LNS	34	1.8	11.2	34.8	10.1	1.7	0.19	0.035	Small
Learning									
HVLT-R	34	1.5	6.5	35.8	8.4	1.4	0.24	0.029	Small
BVMT-R	35	5.3	15.8	37.1	16.8	1.4	0.25	0.029	Small
Planning									
NAB-M	36	6.2	10.6	39.6	10.8	0.9	0.33	0.020	Small
Social cognition									
MS- CEIT-ME	27	,	6.6	25.8	8.4	0.8	0.38	0.016	Small
MCCB Total Score	-1	.6	0.7	-1.5	0.8	1.3	0.25	0.027	Small

RO = Recent Onset; CH = Chronic; SD = Standard Deviation; TMT-A = Trail Making Test – Part A; BACS-SC = Brief Assessment of Cognition in Schizophrenia – Symbol Coding, CF = Category fluency; CPT-IP = Continuous Performance Test – Identical Pairs; WMS-SS = Wechsler Memory Scale – Spatial Span; LNS = Letter–Number Span; HVLT-R = Hopkins Verbal Learning Test – Revised; BVMT-R = Brief Visuospatial Memory Test – Revised; NAB-M = Neuropsychological Assessment Battery – Mazes; MSCEIT-ME = Mayer-Salovey-Caruso Emotional Intelligence Test – Managing Emotions.

#### Table 3

#### Comparison of executive function performance among groups

	RO		СН					
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	F	р	n² <sub>p</sub>	Effect size
WCST								
Total number of trials	-1.36	1.00	-1.64	0.87	0.72	0.40	0.020	Small
Perseverative responses	-3.09	3.72	-3.27	3.33	1.65	0.21	0.044	Small
% Conceptual responses	-1.99	1.72	-2.17	1.41	1.64	0.21	0.044	Small
ToL								
Total of movements	-1.18	1.44	-1.37	0.96	1.30	0.26	0.035	Small
Number of hits	-0.69	0.85	-0.67	0.71	1.81	0.19	0.048	Small
Total time	-0.92	1.39	-1.09	1.22	1.27	0.18	0.034	Small
Rule violations	-4.96	9.82	-4.38	5.76	0.72	0.40	0.019	Small
Stroop								
Word	-0.14	1.06	0.24	0.79	1.2	0.27	0.033	Small
Color	-0.31	0.76	-0.45	0.60	0.15	0.90	0.000	No effect
Word-Color	-0.10	0.52	-0.40	0.53	1.51	0.23	0.040	Small
Interference	0.15	0.85	-0.38	0.58	3.83	0.06	0.096	Medium

RO = Recent Onset; CH = Chronic; SD = Standard Deviation; WCST = Wisconsin Card Sorting Test; ToL = Tower of London

#### DISCUSSION

The objective of the present work was to compare cognitive performance between RO and CH schizophrenia patients to contrast the neurodevelopmental hypothesis against the neurodegenerative approach. The scores obtained in several cognitive domains evaluated by the MCCB and executive functioning tests indicate that RO and CH patients display a similar cognitive impairment. These findings are consistent with previous reports, which indicate that patients with schizophrenia can be found up to 1.6 standard deviations below the general population according to standardized cognitive evaluations<sup>36</sup>.

The main finding of this study is the similar performance observed in the RO and CH groups, no significant differences were found in most MCCB variables, or in executive functioning scores. Our results are in agreement with those reported by McCleery *et al.*<sup>9</sup> and Solis-Vivanco *et al.*<sup>37</sup>. The latter compared the groups of ultra-high risk for psychosis, first psychotic episode, and chronic patients without antipsychotic treatment with the MCCB and the same homogeneity in cognitive performance was observed independently of the stage of the disease. These findings, together with our data, indicate that cognitive impairment can be observed in the early stages of the disease, and is not modified over time by the natural course of the disease. The differences

observed with other studies can be partially explained by the clinical characteristics of samples and with the tests used for cognitive assessment.

Interestingly, the only difference found between RO and CH was in the WMS-SS, whereas RO barely outperformed CH. This finding is intriguing considering the homogeneity among the cognitive profiles; a possible explanation for this difference could be the effect of age on the performance decline in visual working memory tasks that have been reported in recent studies<sup>38,39</sup>. It has been described that in healthy aged subjects a decrease in the ability to maintain bound object features over short intervals exists; and two reasons for the decline in performance are postulated, the former involves a decrease in the ability to fixate an object in space and the latter includes a decrease in the ability to fixate the features of the object presented. Such assumptions are supported by the neurobiological modifications associated with the normal aging process itself, in which there is a decrease in hippocampal volume and in regions of the occipital and parietal cortex required for these tasks, without this implying a pathological process. It is possible that these modifications observed in our sample as a wider variance, without differences between the mean scores among CH compared to the RO group are a consequence of the aging process itself. However, there are also data reporting that in patients with schizophrenia, there are no differences

in working memory measures between CH and younger patients<sup>40</sup>. New studies with a much larger sample will be necessary to study the modifications of aging in patients with schizophrenia.

Regarding the marginal trend observed in the Stroop interference effect, it is noteworthy to mention that previous analyses of our data had shown significant differences among groups in this variable, however, when controlling for age, such difference was no longer significant. This finding suggests that ageing, but not chronicity, may affect the interference effect assessed by the Stroop task. A recent meta-analysis in which Stroop performance was adjusted by processing speed, which clearly decreases as we age, confirmed that age negatively affects Stroop's execution<sup>41</sup>.

Our results suggest that schizophrenia patients do not experience continuous cognitive deterioration along the course of the illness. These results are more congruent with the neurodevelopmental hypothesis as a possible explanation of schizophrenia etiopathology<sup>26</sup>. The neurodevelopmental approach suggests that schizophrenia is the result of multiple aberrations among different brain maturational processes during childhood and adolescence<sup>42</sup>. Such abnormalities are the result of complex interactions between genetic factors, obstetric complications, and environmental insults like substance abuse. Thus, during adolescence, normal maturational processes like apoptosis or synaptic pruning become more aggressive in the psychosis-vulnerable brain, surpassing a threshold that could lead to a psychotic breakdown<sup>43</sup>. Several studies have shown neurodevelopmental abnormalities in brains of ultra-high risk populations, as well as in schizophrenia diagnosed patients. Thus, as Solis-Vivanco et al.37 point out, this implies that the observed cognitive deficits had been present since adolescence. On the other hand, the absence of gliosis as a fundamental characteristic of neurodegenerative processes, and the inconsistency in the reports about cortical degeneration associated with illness chronicity in schizophrenia<sup>44</sup>, may support the neurocognitive stability observed in this study.

Although our results may imply that schizophrenia patients do not deteriorate over time, this is not necessarily applicable to all cases. In our study, the similar performance among groups was observed in patients with adequate pharmacological control and clinical stability. So, our findings are not generalizable to patients with a progressive functional decrease, chronic patients with multiple psychotic episodes, or patients who are refractory to treatment<sup>44</sup>. In this regard, the hypothesis

of schizophrenia as a progressive developmental disease, which affects brain plasticity, aging, and increases vulnerability at different stages of life, proposed by de Hann and Bakker<sup>42</sup>, and Gupta and Kulhara<sup>44</sup> is a promising approach.

The limitations of the present study include the small sample size and the lack of a control comparison group, which would have provided more precise observations about the patients' cognitive performance. A methodological limitation also includes the lack of an inter-rater consistency index. Although, the raters were trained and instructed, subtle modifications between applications could also had an impact on the measurements. Additionally, no evaluation for substance use in the participants was carried out, the information was collected only by clinical interview.

As mentioned above, a more exhaustive study including subgroups of patients with multiple episodes, with resistance to pharmacological treatment or with the presence of other adjuvant treatments, other comorbidities and substance abuse should be conducted, to support our results or to accurately establish variations in cognitive performance in association with such variables.

**Disclosure Statement.** The authors report no conflict of interest.

# REFERENCES

- Sadock BJ, Sadock VA, Ruiz P. Synopsis of Psychiatry: Behavioral Sciences/Clinical Psychiatry, 11th ed, Wolters Kluwer. 2015.
- Green MF, Kern RS, Heaton RK. Longitudinal studies of cognition and functional outcome in schizophrenia: Implications for MATRICS. Schizophrenia Research 2004; 72(1): 41–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. schres.2004.09.009
- 3. Pandina G, Bilder R, Turkoz, et al. Identification of clinically meaningful relationships among cognition, functionality, and symptoms in subjects with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder. Schizophrenia Research 2013; 143(2-3): 312-318. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2012.11.031
- 4. Charlson FJ, Ferrari AJ, Santomauro DF, et al. Global epidemiology and burden of schizophrenia: Findings from the global burden of disease study 2016. Schizophrenia Bulletin 2018; 44(6): 1195–1203. https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sby058

- 5. Dell'Osso B, Palazzo MC, Я Altamura MC. Neurodevelopmental and neurodegenerative alterations in the pathophysiology of schizophrenia: Focus on neuro-immuno-inflammation in Neurodegenerative diseases, 2nd ed, Springer International Publishing. 2018, pp. 337-348.
- Fu S, Czajkowski N, Rund BR, et al. The relationship between level of cognitive impairments and functional outcome trajectories in first-episode schizophrenia. Schizophrenia Research 2017; 190: 144–149. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2017.03.002
- Rodriguez-Jimenez R, Santos JL, Dompablo M, et al. MCCB cognitive profile in Spanish first episode schizophrenia patients. Schizophrenia Research 2019; 211: 88–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. schres.2019.07.011
- Snyder HR. Major depressive disorder is associated with broad impairments on neuropsychological measures of executive function: A meta-analysis and review. Psychological Bulletin 2013; 139(1): 81–132. https:// doi.org/10.1037/a0028727
- McCleery A, Ventura J, Kern RS, et al. Cognitive functioning in first-episode schizophrenia: MATRICS Consensus Cognitive Battery (MCCB) profile of impairment. Schizophrenia Research 2014; 157(1-3): 33–39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2014.04.039
- Irani F, Kalkstein S, Moberg EA, et al. Neuropsychological performance in older patients with schizophrenia: A meta-analysis of cross-sectional and longitudinal studies. Schizophrenia Bulletin 2011; 37(6): 1318– 1326. https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbq057u
- Jiménez-López E, Villanueva-Romero CM, Sánchez-Morla EM, et al. Neurocognition, functional outcome, and quality of life in remitted and non-remitted schizophrenia: A comparison with euthymic bipolar I disorder and a control group. Schizophrenia Research 2022; 240: 81-91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. schres.2021.12.038
- Addington J, Brooks BL, Addington D. Cognitive functioning in first episode psychosis: Initial presentation. Schizophrenia Research 2003; 62(1-2): 59-64. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0920-9964(02)00340-7
- 13. Albus M, Hubmann W, Ehrenberg C, *et al.* Neuropsychological impairment in first-episode and chronic schizophrenic patients. European Archives of

Psychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience 1996.

- Galderisi S, Davidson M, Kahn RS, et al. Correlates of cognitive impairment in first episode schizophrenia: The EUFEST study. Schizophrenia Research 2009; 115(2–3): 104–114. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2009.09.022
- Mesholam-Gately RI, Giuliano AJ, Goff KP, et al. Neurocognition in first-episode schizophrenia: A meta-analytic review. Neuropsychology 2009; 23(3): 315–336. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0014708
- Mohamed S, Paulsen JS, O'Leary D, et al. Generalized cognitive deficits in schizophrenia: A study of firstepisode patients. Archives of General Psychiatry 1999; 56(8): 749. https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.56.8.749
- Hughes C, Kumari V, Soni W, et al. Longitudinal study of symptoms and cognitive function in chronic schizophrenia. Schizophrenia Research 2003; 59(2–3): 137–146. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0920-9964(01)00393-0
- 18. Pietrzak RH, Olver J, Norman T, et al. A comparison of the CogState Schizophrenia Battery and the Measurement and Treatment Research to Improve Cognition in Schizophrenia (MATRICS) Battery in assessing cognitive impairment in chronic schizophrenia. Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology 2009; 31(7): 848–859. https://doi. org/10.1080/13803390802592458
- 19. Rodriguez-Jimenez R, Dompablo M, Bagney A, et al. The MCCB impairment profile in a Spanish sample of patients with schizophrenia: Effects of diagnosis, age, and gender on cognitive functioning. Schizophrenia Research 2015; 169(1-3): 116-120. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.schres.2015.09.013
- 20. Sánchez-Morla EM, Barabash A, Martínez-Vizcaíno V, et al. Comparative study of neurocognitive function in euthymic bipolar patients and stabilized schizophrenic patients. Psychiatry Research 2009; 169(3): 220-228. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.psychres.2008.06.032.
- 21. Green MJ, Girshkin L, Kremerskothen K, *et al.* A systematic review of studies reporting data-driven cognitive subtypes across the psychosis spectrum. Neuropsychology Review 2020; 30: 446-460. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s11065-019-09422-7.
- 22. Carruthers SP, Van Rheenen TE, Gurvich, C, *et al.* Characterizing the structure of cognitive heterogeneity in schizophrenia spectrum disorders. A systematic review and narrative synthesis. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews 2019; 107: 252-278. https://doi. org/ 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2019.09.006.
- 23. Van Rheenen TE, Lewandowski KE, Tan EJ, et al. Characterizing cognitive heterogeneity on the schizophrenia-bipolar disorder spectrum. Psychological Medicine 2017; 47(10): 1848-1864. https://doi.org/ 10.1017/S0033291717000307.

Actas Esp Psiquiatr 2023;51(4): 176-83 | ISSN: 1578-2735

- 24. Braw Y, Bloch Y, Mendelovich S, *et al.* Cognition in young schizophrenia outpatients: Comparison of first-episode with multiepisode patients. Schizophrenia Bulletin 2007; 34(3): 544–554. https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbm115
- Barder HE, Sundet K, Rund BR, et al. Neurocognitive development in first episode psychosis 5years followup: Associations between illness severity and cognitive course. Schizophrenia Research 2013; 149(1–3): 63– 69. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2013.06.016
- 26. Sponheim SR, Jung RE, Seidman LJ, et al. Cognitive deficits in recent-onset and chronic schizophrenia. Journal of Psychiatric Research 2010; 44(7): 421-428. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2009.09.010
- 27. Sheffield JM, Karcher NR, & Barch DM. Cognitive deficits in psychotic disorders: a lifespan perspective. Neuropsychology Review 2018; 28: 509-533. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s11065-018-9388-2
- 28. Fresán A, De la Fuente-Sandoval C, Loyzaga C, *et al.* A forced five-dimensional factor analysis and concurrent validity of the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale in Mexican schizophrenic patients. Schizophrenia Research 2005; 72(2–3): 123–129. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2004.03.021
- 29. Kay SR, Fiszbein A, Vital-Herne V, *et al.* The Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale-Spanish Adaptation. The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease 1990; 178(8): 510–517.
- 30. Wechsler D. Escala Wechsler de Inteligencia para Adultos-III. Manual Moderno. 2001
- 31. Kumari S, Malik M, Florival C, *et al.* An assessment of five (PANSS, SAPS, SANS, NSA-16, CGI-SCH) commonly used symptoms rating scales in schizophrenia and comparison to newer scales (CAINS, BNSS). Journal of Addiction Research & Therapy 2017; 8(3):324. https:// doi.org/10.4172/2155-6105.1000324
- 32. Nuechterlein KH & Green MF. Batería consensuada sobre procesos cognitivos MATRICS. The Regents of the University of California. 2009
- 33. Heaton RK, Chelune GJ, Talley JL, *et al.* Test de Clasificación de Cartas de Wisconsin. TEA. 2001
- 34. Golden CHJ: STROOP Test de Colores y Palabras (3ra ed.). TEA Ediciones. 2001

- 35. Culbertson WC & Zillmer E. Tower of London-Drexel University. Multi-Health Systems. 2001
- 36. Kenney J, Anderson-Schmidt H, Scanlon C, et al. Cognitive course in first-episode psychosis and clinical correlates: A 4 year longitudinal study using the MATRICS Consensus Cognitive Battery. Schizophrenia Research 2015; 169(1–3): 101–108. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.schres.2015.09.007
- 37. Solís-Vivanco R, Rangel-Hassey F, León-Ortiz P, *et al.* Cognitive impairment in never-medicated individuals on the schizophrenia spectrum. JAMA Psychiatry 2020; 77(5): 543-545. https://doi.org/ 10.1001/ jamapsychiatry.2020.0001
- 38. Holcomb AN, Tagliabue CF, Mazza V. Aging and feature binding in visual working memory. Frontiers in Psychology 2022;13: 977565. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fpsyg.2022.977565
- 39. Allen RJ, Atkinson AL, Nicholls LAB. Strategic prioritisation enhances young and older adults' visual feature binding in working memory. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology 2021; 74(2):363-376. https//doi.org/10.1177/1747021820960712
- 40. Hintze B, Rowicka M, Barczak A. Are executive functions deficits in early-onset chronic schizophrenia more severe than in adult-onset chronic schizophrenia? Clinical Neuropsychiatry 2022;19(1):54-63. https//doi. org/10.36131/cnfioritieditore20220108
- 41. Nicosia J, Cohen-Shikora ER, & Balota DA. Reexamining age differences in the Stroop effect: The importance of the tress in the forest (plot). Psychology and Aging 2021; 36(2): 214-231. https:// doi.org/10.1037/pag0000599
- 42. de Haan L & Bakker J.M. Overview of neuropathological theories of schizophrenia: From degeneration to progressive developmental disorder. Psychopathology 2004; 37(1): 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1159/000077013
- 43. Cannon TD. How schizophrenia develops. Cognitive and brain mechanisms underlying onset of psychosis. Trends in Cognitive Sciences 2015; 19(12): 744–756. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2015.09.009
- 44. Gupta S & Kulhara P. What is schizophrenia: A neurodevelopmental or neurodegenerative disorder or a combination of both. A critical analysis. Indian Journal of Psychiatry 2010; 52(1): 21–27. https://doi.org/10.4103/0019-5545.58891

Actas Esp Psiquiatr 2023;51(4): 167-75 | ISSN: 1578-2735 © 2023 Actas Españolas de Psiquiatría - Fundación López Ibor https://www.fundacionlopezibor.es/ Editado por © 2023 Amazing Books S.L. https://amazingbooks.es/